Chapter III: Housing Element

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The demand for housing in the future will be accommodated by the adequate supply of land contained within the City’s Urban Growth Area. No immediate deficiency will result from an increased demand for housing.

In addition to ensuring that adequate land capacity will be available to accommodate the expected growth in households, the City must do what it can to ensure diverse housing...

HOUSING ELEMENT AT A GLANCE: SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

- The average number of people per household will continue to decrease through 2036.
- The plan projects that 2,884 new housing units will be built in Ferndale by 2036.
- There will be an increased demand for elderly housing that promotes independent lifestyles.
- Single family residential development will remain the predominant form of housing, though multifamily and variations of multifamily development will increase.
- Strong residential neighborhoods will remain the essential ingredient for a vibrant community.
- A greater percentage of residents in Ferndale live below the poverty line than the Whatcom County or Washington State average.
- The lack of access to amenities, recreation, schools, employment, or opportunities to practice sustainable urban agriculture impacts low income households most dramatically.
- Nearly 1/4 of the City’s existing housing stock will be seventy years or older by 2036.
opportunities for all income groups. Although no city can guarantee that the housing built will be affordable to all income groups, cities can encourage and facilitate housing that is more affordable using factors it does control, such as land use regulations. For instance, the City can ensure that it has an adequate amount of land zoned to facilitate the development of affordable housing opportunities, such as smaller lot sizes, townhouses, cottage housing, cluster housing, accessory dwelling units, duplexes, triplexes, small-scale apartments, senior apartments, and single-room-occupancy housing.

In this regard, the City is actively taking steps to increase its capacity in order to eliminate potential future housing deficits.

Though not a requirement of the Growth Management Act, this element also seeks to identify issues that may have an effect on the quality of life of Ferndale residents. Access to recreational opportunities, the impact housing design may have on crime, the ability to grow food or experience the environment in close proximity to housing, and more are examined.

Finally, this element also maintains the goal of preserving and promoting strong neighborhoods in which residents care about and invest in their community, participate in community affairs, and engage with one another. These strong neighborhoods represent the essential character and identity of Ferndale. These neighborhoods are and will continue to be the central focus of daily life for Ferndale citizens – and are the vital ingredient in making Ferndale “home.”

INTRODUCTION

The Housing Element is perhaps the most personal component of the Comprehensive Plan, as it concerns the immediate environment in which residents live and raise their families. The primary goal of the Housing Element is to meet the current and future needs for housing for Ferndale residents. The ability to obtain affordable housing is essential to a stable, healthy and thriving community. Most housing is not built by cities, but by the private sector. However, cities and other entities, such as lending institutions have a significant role in the supply and affordability of housing. This element focuses on the housing supply and affordability factors that the City can either control or influence.
CHAPTER 3: HOUSING ELEMENT
FERNDALE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The Housing Element is designed to help ensure that sufficient land remains available to allow the private marketplace to develop housing at a level that meets consumer demand and ability to pay. Over the next 20-year planning period, Ferndale is projected to experience a 2.34 percent annual increase in population.

In many ways, the housing needs required in 2036 will be different from the housing needs of the past. A combination of an aging population, changing housing expectations for younger generations, lower (on average) household sizes and the overall densification of the community all mean that housing issues in Ferndale will not be resolved by calculating whether there is sufficient land available for housing. Instead of simply calculating overall land area, the Housing Element seeks to establish measureable goals and policies to ensure that housing in Ferndale meets the needs of the people who live there.

The Housing Element is designed on the following values which are integrated within the various issues discussed in the element:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Units: 5,002</td>
<td>Residential Units: 7,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons Per Unit: 2.58</td>
<td>Persons Per Unit: 2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1
The Housing Element of the Comprehensive Plan is used by the City of Ferndale to establish policies and to identify appropriate land areas to ensure that housing opportunities in Ferndale are not only sufficient to meet demand at all economic levels, but to support Ferndale residents in their efforts to enjoy a high quality of life. In meeting these objectives, the element is also intended to implement the requirements of the Growth Management Act.

The City has determined that the following elements represent an integral part of the planning process for future housing in Ferndale:

- **ADEQUATE LAND**
  - For future growth and development

- **CAPACITY**
  - Of local public and private entities to meet identified needs

- **SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED**
  - Will remain the predominant form of housing through 2036

- **DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS**
  - Can be tracked and used to measure important quality of life statistics over time

- **FLEXIBILITY**
  - For lot sizes, densities, housing choices, land use mix, accessory dwelling units, design configurations.

- **HOUSING CHOICE**
  - Regardless of income

- **SENSE OF PLACE**
  - Is reflected in public and private design and preservation

- **ACTIVE LIVING ENVIRONMENTS**
  - Are promoted in new developments, school and city capital facility plans and land use configuration.
Relationship to Plan Elements

The Housing Element is closely linked to the Land Use, Transportation, Capital Facilities and Utilities Elements of the Comprehensive Plan. The Housing Element goes beyond the number of housing units needed to meet population growth projections. These linked Plan Elements contain important factors to be considered when planning for affordable housing, both to the citizen and in consideration of long-term costs to the community for streets utilities and services. This relationship is illustrated in Figure 3, below:
ADEQUATE LAND

Per the City’s Land Use Element, the City and its Urban Growth Area are sufficient to support future housing needs, providing that there is a slight increase in overall housing density. If current (pre-2013) densities were to continue, the City would lack capacity for approximately 406 people, or 157 dwelling units.

A series of land use changes intended to permit higher densities and a range of housing options preceded the 2016 Comprehensive Plan Update, and the City does not believe a deficit is likely during the planning period. Should growth not meet these density expectations, or should it exceed capacity, modifications in Urban Growth Area boundaries or land use controls will be necessary in the future.

CAPACITY

Based on the Capital Facilities and Utilities Elements and the completion of projects listed in adopted long-range capital improvement plans, no deficiencies are projected that would reduce or limit the overall housing capacity of the City.

Housing conditions and housing demand will change between 2016 and 2036. This section seeks to identify some of the major themes that are expected to present themselves during the twenty-year planning period.
The Land Use Element includes a capacity estimate of overall housing within the City and UGA, projecting that up to 2,060 more single family units and 420 multifamily units could be constructed within the twenty-year planning period. This estimate may under-estimate the overall capacity for multifamily development, as it assumes that future multifamily densities will be similar to those achieved between 2005 and 2015; the City expects significantly higher densities in certain areas of the City. The estimate also does not attempt to project the variations of residential development discussed later in this element, which may blur the lines between single and multi-family development. For the purposes of this Housing Element, the City projects that 824 (or approximately 41 per year) non-single family detached units will be built by 2036.

Overall, the City projects that approximately 40% of all new housing construction in Ferndale will not be in the form of traditional single family detached structures. This represents a gradual change from existing conditions, where only 25% of all new development is considered multifamily.
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS (INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS)
This section includes an inventory of housing types within the City as well as future growth projections and needs within the twenty-year planning period. Where applicable, additional analysis is provided.

Existing Conditions and Anticipated Housing Trends

The current (2015) population of Ferndale is 12,920. As shown in the Housing Snap Shot to the left, there are 5,002 dwelling units in the City.
The City has been remarkably consistent in terms of household population, maintaining an average population of between 2.49 and 2.64 persons per household since 1980.

This plan anticipates a slight decrease to 2.48 persons per household by 2036. A total of 2,884 new residential units, with sixty percent of these units built as traditional single family detached houses, are expected by 2036—resulting in a total population increase of 6,833.

One purpose of the Housing Element is to establish policy directives for the future of housing in Ferndale. In order to develop these policies, at least a basic understanding of future growth and demographic trends is required:

- Ferndale’s population is aging and will demand a new supply of appropriate and affordable housing
- Affordable home ownership and rental opportunities are generally available for households over 50% of median income, but limited for households earning less than 50% of the median.
- Sidewalks and other urban amenities that have come to be expected in many areas are not available in all neighborhoods.
- Housing along Portal Way and LaBounty Drive is largely disconnected from the rest of the community and is not in close proximity to trails, parks, or schools.
- Housing within the Downtown core is often separated from adequate parking facilities.

At its most basic level, this element seeks to ensure that future housing in Ferndale will serve the needs of future Ferndale residents, allowing them to pursue their individual dreams and lead their lives based on their own terms.

The City has an important role to play, by ensuring that neighborhoods have access to transportation, recreation, schools, and jobs. The City is also responsible for providing police and fire services, as well as water and sewer.

The Developer is also responsible for ensuring that the housing they create does not prevent future residents from achieving their goals. This can be accomplished by creating sufficient open space, adequate parking, and safe, attractive and efficient building designs.

The Resident has the most important job, by maintaining their home and property, as well as taking part in community events and holding their elected officials accountable.

The Comprehensive Plan seeks, in part, to make these connections more possible.
While the majority of Ferndale’s population is in close proximity to schools and parks, several of Ferndale’s fastest-growing neighborhoods (Douglas Road, Portal Way, Smith Road) are not within walking distance.

Projected Demographic Shifts.

Demographic changes in Ferndale will have major implications for future housing needs.

Aging population: Like most cities in Whatcom County, Ferndale’s median age is gradually getting higher. Increased health awareness and technological advances in health care are allowing people to live longer today than in the past. As the aging population moves through retirement, the impacts become more clear. Not only will
there be an increased need for assisted living facilities, there is expected to be an increase in “active retirees” who favor smaller homes while still preserving their independence.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the median age of Ferndale residents is 35.1, an increase of 3.1 years from 2005 levels. The median age of Ferndale residents is younger than Whatcom County (36.5) and the United States (37.3). The average household size in Ferndale contains 2.58 members. This is greater than both the average Whatcom County household size (2.51) and that of Washington State (2.53). The percent of population by age for Ferndale, Whatcom County and the State of Washington is shown in Exhibit HE-1.

**Generational Preference:** Younger generations have increasingly favored smaller homes and even multi-family residences not only as an affordable alternative to traditional single family development but in some cases as the preferred alternative to a single family lifestyle. Often, the housing choices of the younger generations may overlap with the choices of older generations, prioritizing close proximity of residential housing to dining, shopping, and work. A robust Downtown or mixed use environment is expected to act as a draw for many of these residents.

**Household Trends:** Nationwide, it is projected that over the next four decades one-person households will increase substantially. These one-person households may vary significantly from one another, and will feature individuals across many demographic groups. The land use needs for these households will also vary substantially, but in general the overall building or unit size may decrease from existing levels.

**Regional Inventory:** Ferndale’s housing market is significantly influenced by the housing trends and inventory in Bellingham and surrounding cities. If the inventory of affordable single family lots in Bellingham is diminished, it is likely that Ferndale will experience increased demand – and vice versa.

This is evidenced by the fact that when the inventory of single family residences diminishes in Bellingham, Ferndale often experiences an increase in single family applications. For multi-family development, scarce availability of multifamily residential units in Bellingham tends to increase the rental rates of multifamily development in Ferndale.
COST OF LIVING

Affordable housing and the cost of living are closely related issues. The percentage of income devoted to housing costs is generally higher than the national average, which creates potential risk to low and even moderate-income households. Traditional housing cost analysis focuses on rent or mortgage payments, but a more accurate measurement considers grocery, utility, transportation and health care costs.

Put simply, if more efficient, more durable fixtures, heating units, insulation, and other methods of construction are integrated into new structures at the time of development, the cost of living for residents through the life of the structure will be reduced. While this may result in moderately higher costs initially, the net benefit to tenants could be significant. In order to reduce the economic strain on residents, the city can look to methods of easing these costs by reducing the overall cost of housing, in the following areas:

- **Grocery:** Community organizations such as the Ferndale Food Bank provide free or low-cost groceries. Grocery purchases represent a private transaction with little City control or oversight, beyond establishing land use controls that permit and encourage competition. Maintaining a vegetable garden can reduce household food expenses. The City recognizes this absolute right and permits its use.

- **Health:** The City does not have direct control over health care, but the promotion of active lifestyles, creation of trails and open spaces, establishment of bicycle lanes, and utilizing Well-City and other programs may reduce these costs.

- **Transportation:** The city may seek to reduce transportation expenses to households by meeting the transit needs of existing development and encouraging the location of new housing in transit corridors.

- **Utility Costs:** The City does not have direct control of utility billing for private service providers, but may seek to emphasize or require high efficiency construction that will reduce utility costs, such as:
  - Building Methods: The City may consider adopting additional or alternative building and development codes that emphasize high-efficiency development, either as a method to remove obstacles to those applicants who wish to pursue these options, or to raise the bar for all applicants by requiring a higher minimum efficiency level. Building with more affordable materials and methods of construction can also help to produce structures at an overall lower cost; however, this should not compromise lasting quality or energy efficiency.
  - Energy efficient fixtures: Building and retrofitting dwellings to improve energy efficiency can significantly reduce water and electric bills. The upfront costs of investing in efficient heating and cooling systems, low flow bath fixtures, and even solar panels can be recouped in a matter of years. Furthermore, Puget Sound energy and Cascade Natural Gas offer rebate programs for the installation of higher efficiency heating systems depending on a home’s current heating and energy sources.
Housing Affordability

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the median house value in Ferndale in 2013 was $243,100. This value is significantly higher than that of the United States ($176,700), but lower than the median Whatcom County house value ($277,000) and that of Washington State ($262,100). Exhibit HE-2 illustrates the value of housing in Ferndale compared with housing costs in Whatcom County and in the State of Washington.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ferndale</th>
<th>Whatcom County</th>
<th>Washington</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $50,000</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $99,999</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 to $149,999</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 to $199,999</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000 to $299,999</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$300,000 to $499,999</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,000 to $999,999</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000 000 or more</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013
Housing Costs – Single Family

Housing values in the Ferndale area have rebounded since the Great Recession from 2008 through 2010. As of 2016, the average home value has returned to pre-recession levels. While housing values have fluctuated due to economic uncertainty, housing values in Ferndale remain significantly less than similarly-sized development in Bellingham. In addition, the available single family housing stock in Ferndale provides a range of alternatives to the Bellingham market, which has become increasingly focused on multifamily development.

Housing Costs – Multi-Family (Rental)
There are few multi-family vacancies in Ferndale or in the wider Whatcom County area. The lack of available multifamily units reduced the countywide vacancy rate to as low as 1.1% in March 2012, before rebounding to 2.0% by March 2014. The low vacancy rates region-wide have contributed to higher rental costs ($815 March 2014, $604 March 2000) and have likely reduced cost variations from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. While in 2000, Ferndale’s average rent was approximately 95% of the average for Whatcom County as a whole, the Whatcom County Association of REALTORS now projects that there is no statistical variation between rents in Ferndale versus rents in Bellingham.

**Ferndale Income Levels**

Median income is defined as the mid-point of all reported incomes. For example, half of all households had higher incomes and half of the households had lower incomes than the mid-point. According to the US Census, the median household income in Ferndale in 2013 was $55,579. This is slightly lower than the median state income of $59,478, but is slightly higher than the national median income of $53,046. Exhibit HE-3 illustrates the income range of Ferndale residents compared with residents of Whatcom County and the State of Washington.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income in 2013</th>
<th>Ferndale</th>
<th>Whatcom County</th>
<th>Washington</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $10,000</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $14,999</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 to $24,999</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $34,999</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000 to $49,999</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $74,999</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 to $99,999</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 to $149,999</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 to $199,999</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000 or more</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>$55,579</td>
<td>$51,939</td>
<td>$59,478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013

While Ferndale’s median income has remained relatively consistent when compared to state and national averages, the percentage of people earning less than $35,000 per year (not adjusted for inflation) has declined significantly in Ferndale when compared to Whatcom County or Washington State.
Housing Equity

It is an obvious statement that different income household groups can afford different housing prices. It is generally accepted that households that pay more than 30 percent of their gross income in housing costs are in distress.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) uses the following definitions to define income levels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>% of Median Income</th>
<th>Corresponding Income levels in Ferndale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely low income</td>
<td>Below 30% of median income</td>
<td>Less than $13,388/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low income</td>
<td>Between 31% and 50% of median income</td>
<td>Between $13,389 and $22,313/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>Between 51% and 80% of median income</td>
<td>Between $22,314 and $35,700/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate income</td>
<td>Between 81% and 95% of median income</td>
<td>Between $35,701 and $42,395/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle income</td>
<td>Between 96% and 120% of median income</td>
<td>Between $42,396 and $53,551/year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit HE-4 compares local, state and national income and poverty levels:

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013
A person who is making $66,950 a year (the median family income) is considered to be living in affordable housing if his/her monthly payments for housing do not exceed $1674 per month. Many people do not make this amount of money in Ferndale. A person who is making $9.47 per hour (Washington State minimum wage, 2015) and works 40 hours per week earns approximately $19,700 per year, or $1,642 per month. According to the affordable housing definition, this person’s rent and cost of utilities cannot exceed $492 per month to be considered affordable.

In addition, based on the average county-wide rental rates of $815 per month an individual would need to earn $32,600 per year to sustain those rates at 30% of overall income. Exhibit HE-3 shows that over 35% of Ferndale’s population does not meet these earning requirements.
Historically, federal and state governments have played the primary role in serving the housing needs of low income households. The role of local government, especially those cities (such as Ferndale) that, due to their size do not have full access to programs that may reduce the cost of affordable housing, has primarily been to ensure that adequate land is zoned for a variety of housing choices.

While small local governments may not be able to provide direct financial assistance to low income households, they can have a significant influence in ensuring that low income households have access to transportation, schools, recreation, shopping and jobs. Further, local government has the ability to reduce certain housing costs by requiring that construction methods do not lead to high utility costs for consumers.
Age of Housing/ Maintenance and Preservation

The age of housing units within an urban area is sometimes indicative of the condition of the overall housing stock, depending on how well the homes are maintained. Whereas well-maintained historic homes and districts can significantly increase property values, poorly maintained older housing can depreciate property values while proving dangerous and unsightly.

Ferndale does not have a great deal of older units. 2,492 homes in Ferndale have been built since 1980, which is 55% of Ferndale’s existing housing stock. Ferndale’s relatively new housing stock is quite apparent in comparison with Bellingham’s housing supply. Twenty percent of all units in Bellingham were constructed prior to 1940. Ferndale has 253 housing units that were constructed prior to 1940, which represents 5.5% of the city’s total housing stock.

Exhibit HE-5 shows the age of the housing stock in Ferndale.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013, and City of Ferndale Community Development Department
For the most part, newer homes are located in the northwest section of Ferndale, although new neighborhoods have been developed in the last ten years at Portal Way, Douglas Road, Smith Road, Church Street, Thornton Street, and LaBounty Drive. The older homes are generally located in areas near the downtown, especially the area just north of downtown.

Approximately 40% of all housing in Ferndale was built between 1950 and 1980, the period in which nearby Cherry Point industries were established and Ferndale’s growth accelerated. While the majority of the residential structures built during this time were built to a high standard, many of them will exceed their useful life within the twenty year planning period. As a result, it is likely that there will be opportunities for additional infill development or reconstruction on existing lots within some of these neighborhoods by 2036.

**Condition of Housing**

For the most part, the housing stock in Ferndale is in good condition. The City has very little concentration of substandard housing, which is typically found in older urban areas. However, houses in poor condition do exist in isolated areas within the City. While significant variation exists, homes constructed between 1945 and 1980 are more likely to see an increased need for maintenance over the course of the planning period in order to avoid a decline into substandard housing.
The Whatcom County Assessor’s Office provides information related to housing construction quality, which is a good indicator of housing condition. The Assessor’s data indicates that the quality of the overall housing stock is average to above average citywide. There are a few areas, however, where the condition of housing is less than average. Two such areas of note are the area immediately north of downtown and the central core area. There are also scattered pockets of substandard housing located along the Portal Way corridor. The City is aware of the housing condition in these areas. Preventing existing affordable housing stock from deteriorating is a key to retaining existing affordable housing.

**Owner/Renter Occupied Dwelling Units**

As shown in Figure 7, the percentage of owner-occupied housing stock has remained relatively stable over time. The City projects that the percentage of renter-occupied housing will increase by 2036.

**HOUSING MIX**

**Single Family Dwelling Units**
There are currently 3,428 single-family residences in the city (April 2015). Lot sizes vary widely. Smaller lots are generally located near the downtown area. Larger lots are concentrated in the western portion of the City, especially along Church Road. This area in particular is one of high real estate value, due in part to the views of Mt. Baker and the surrounding countryside that are available from that area. In 2014 the City adopted “lot averaging” requirements in order to allow increased flexibility and higher densities. These regulations have succeeded in both respects and over time will likely reduce the variability of lot sizes throughout the City.

**Multi-family Dwelling Units**

The Office of Financial Management considers multi-family development to be two or more housing units, apartments and condominiums. The bulk of the 1,116 multi-family housing units are located near the downtown area, north of the downtown area and along Main Street. A few units are also scattered along Portal Way, just east of the freeway. All of these units are within walking distance of downtown Ferndale, or are near the bus route operated by the Whatcom Transportation Authority (WTA). Most multi-family dwelling units are located in the RM 1.5 zone.

**Group Quarters**

In Ferndale, there are two group quarters facilities: Heritage House Assisted Living, and the Malloy Place 2 Adult Family Home. Together they have the capacity to house approximately 60 residents.

**Mobile Homes**
There are an estimated 447 mobile homes inside the city (April 2015). All but 26 manufactured homes are located within ten manufactured home parks or villages. Two manufactured home parks are located on Douglas Road; two are located on Main Street; two on Trigg Road, and four are located on Portal Way.

**Special Needs Populations**

There are many people in Ferndale who require housing that is directly linked to supportive services and/or is designed to accommodate physical disabilities, some for a limited time and others on a permanent basis. The private market, for the most part, does not meet the housing and service needs of these groups, especially if they are low-income. However, the need is independent of a person's income; rather, it is typically necessary due to a crisis or disabling condition. Unfortunately, many people who are permanently disabled usually fall into the very low-income category due to the limitations of their disability.

**Subsidized Housing**

Included in Ferndale’s 5,002 housing units are a limited number of subsidized housing. These subsidized units are typically owned by private non-profit agencies and reserved mostly for the elderly, physically disabled, or those with other special housing needs. In addition, several households were renting using Whatcom County Housing Authority Section 8 vouchers. A household with a Section 8 voucher can live anywhere in the county. The tenant pays 30 to 40 percent of their income toward the rent and HUD pays the remainder – up to a certain limit. Tenants are encouraged to pay no more than 30 percent of their income, but are permitted to pay up to 40 percent if necessary to obtain suitable housing.

The demand for both subsidized housing and vouchers far outstrips the housing supply. Individuals making application for subsidized housing are typically on a waiting list for 1½ to 2 years.

In addition to subsidized rental housing, Whatcom Skagit Housing (self-help homes) have become an important part of the single family residential community. There are approximately 200 Self-Help Homes inside Ferndale, 145 of which were built between 2005 and 2015. Self-Help Homes are built with assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, in a co-op method where the buyers of the homes in the area team up to help each other build the homes, thus cutting down on construction costs. The program
provides an opportunity for home ownership for a segment of the population who otherwise would not be in a position to purchase a home.

SUMMARY OF FUTURE HOUSING NEEDS

Population Growth
Ferndale had a very small population that saw little change in the early to mid-1900s. The 1950s saw an increase primarily due to the Conoco-Phillips refinery that is located west of the city. With the completion of Interstate 5 in 1976, as well as the opening of the BP refinery at Cherry Point and Alcoa Intalco Works, Ferndale’s population saw significant growth. BP and Alcoa brought numerous jobs to the area, creating a massive job market. The interstate linked Ferndale with the rest of Western Washington, making it easier to commute to and from Bellingham and other nearby communities. In the 10-year period from 1970 to 1980, Ferndale’s population grew at a staggering rate of 78%. The population rate in the 1990s slowed to a more sustainable rate of 38%, or 3.84% per year.

Past population growth can be summarized by the following Census population numbers for Ferndale, beginning in 1910 [Figure 9].

### FIGURE 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population Net Increase</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Percent Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>–%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>1,442</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>2,164</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1,691</td>
<td>3,855</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1,543</td>
<td>5,398</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3,360</td>
<td>8,758</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,452</td>
<td>11,210</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-15</td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>12,920</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**FLEXIBILITY**

As has been documented in this element, the City of Ferndale anticipates that historic housing trends will need to change in order to accommodate future population demand. The traditional suburban single family detached...
development will still remain a major element of the landscape but will be supplemented by a variety of other housing types.

In addition to a description of the various housing types that may be developed in Ferndale (and there are certainly more than what are listed here), this section seeks to discuss the geographic area in which these housing types may be located, the mix of housing choices (and in some cases land uses), and the basic design configurations of various housing options.

There are three major strategies to accommodate future housing growth: infill development within existing neighborhoods, development within “greenfield” areas that have not yet been developed, and higher-density housing choices within and around the Downtown core, which may be considered as a subset to infill development.
The concept of infill development encourages development in the interior portions of the City, where development already exists in addition to facilitating development in other parts of the City. Infill development is the opposite of urban sprawl. Urban sprawl spreads out across the landscape and consumes vast quantities of land. Instead of spreading development along the City's periphery, infill development focuses on keeping the City's overall development pattern compact using vacant and underutilized land within the interior of the City. Infill development can also lower the cost of development and the cost of providing infrastructure because it is already provided for in the city, as shown in Figure X.

Infill does not limit the growth of a community. Instead, the Plan focuses growth to those locations that are desirable, where it is in the public interest to grow and where future growth options can be exercised. This Plan seeks to facilitate development throughout the City, while adding new development in harmony with existing neighborhoods.

**This Plan seeks to facilitate development throughout the City, while adding new development in harmony with existing neighborhoods.**

**Existing Neighborhoods:** The majority of the City’s residential neighborhoods consist of detached single-family residences, the majority of which have been constructed within the last fifty years and are expected to remain in place throughout the planning period. One of the most important goals of any comprehensive plan is to retain the character of existing, sustainable neighborhoods – rather than develop plans to radically transform those neighborhoods simply to accommodate future growth.
The predominant housing in Ferndale throughout the planning period will remain single family residential development. While lot size and building styles will vary, this form of housing consists of one residential unit (usually site-built, but also including manufactured or modular homes as well as other non-traditional styles), direct access to public or private streets, and a front and back yard owned by the property owner. The Comprehensive Plan projects that nearly sixty percent of all residences built in Ferndale between 2016 and 2036 will be single family residences.
The City anticipates that future infill development within existing residential neighborhoods and subdivisions will consist of the following: Accessory Dwelling Units or “mother in law suites,” the re-subdivision of existing developed or undeveloped lots, the development of remaining undeveloped lots with single family residences, and the establishment of duplexes or attached single family residences on existing or new lots. None of these development types are expected to depart significantly from the existing housing forms experienced in these neighborhoods – the future of the vast majority of Ferndale’s single family neighborhoods will not be in the form of large multi-family apartment buildings. In fact, many of the changes within existing neighborhoods will likely be initiated by existing older residents who take steps to age in place within their current home.

Accessory Dwelling Units:
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Zero Lot Line, Attached Single Family, Townhouses:

Accessory Dwelling Units (and mother-in-law suites) are generally smaller one or two-bedroom dwelling units on the same lot as the primary residence (a house). While Accessory Dwelling Units do increase the density of a neighborhood, they can do so without substantially modifying the character of a neighborhood. All elements of the homesite (parking, setbacks) are contained within the existing lot. While the accessory unit is often rented to a second party, these units are frequently utilized by family members or caretakers of the owner.

1. **Accessory Dwelling Unit:** Typically detached from and behind the primary residence and may in some cases be associated with or in replacement of a detached garage.

2. **Mother in Law Suites:** Attached to the primary residence, either in the form of an addition or a conversion of existing space to include beds, baths and a kitchen.
FIGURE 13 – ZERO LOT LINE/ATTACHED SINGLE FAMILY, TOWNHOUSES

Zero Lot Line, or Attached single family units share a common property line and as a result utilize less land area than a conventional detached single family residence. While these units are often more affordable than a conventional residence, they may also be a preferred choice for property owners who do not wish to maintain a larger property.
Duplex:

**FIGURE 14 – DUPLEXES**

Duplex units are two single family units on the same piece of property, typically attached by a common wall, attached garage or other structural components. As the two residences are on one piece of property, at least one unit is rented, while the property owner may live in the second unit or may choose to rent both units.
NEW DEVELOPMENT

New Development – Single Family Zones: The vast majority of new growth within established single family zones will be the development of existing vacant or lightly-developed properties of ½ acre or larger. This development will consist primarily of single family detached development.

While the basic future land use will remain consistent with historic development, future residential subdivisions are expected to utilize land more efficiently, through a combination of smaller lots, street widths that are comparable with demand, integrated wetland and stormwater elements, and more.

In 2014, the City of Ferndale removed decades-old regulations requiring relatively-large “minimum lot size” requirements, allowing increased flexibility to avoid sensitive areas while maintaining urban densities. These changes increased the actual development potential of the City without increasing the densities that were already allowed per the City’s existing regulations.

As part of required compliance with Washington State stormwater regulations, the City has also sought to decrease impervious surfaces by reducing required street widths, thereby returning additional land for development or preservation purposes.
Conventional Single Family Development:

FIGURE 15 – CONVENTIONAL SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT

Conventional single family development assumes that the majority of lots will be first created through a subdivision process and later developed with single family residences and accessory uses (garages, gardens, sheds, playsets) to suit the resident’s tastes. Lots within these subdivisions typically include front and backyards for open space as well as sufficient on-site parking for residents and visitors. These lots may also include stormwater facilities such as raingardens or bioswales.
Planned Unit Development:

**FIGURE 16 – PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT**

Planned Unit Developments, or PUD’s, allow flexibility beyond what is provided in a conventional subdivision, providing for reduced minimum lot sizes, lot clustering, reduced setbacks and similar features. These developments may also include a mix of uses and housing types in specific configurations to limit impacts on adjacent uses. PUD’s are often able to achieve densities similar to or higher than conventional subdivisions, even when portions of the original properties are constrained by wetlands or other sensitive areas.
Cottage Housing:

**FIGURE 17 – COTTAGE HOUSING**

Cottage housing, or postage stamp/bungalow courts, allow single family detached units to share common open areas and parking. Individual structures are typically owned by the resident, along with a small area around the house itself. The remaining lot area is owned in common by all residents, who share responsibility for maintenance. These developments may increase densities by 25% or more above traditional single family development while retaining substantial open space and protecting sensitive environmental areas.

- Allows higher densities than typical single family, generally reduces homeowner responsibilities.
- Separately owned structures, collective ownership of common areas (community open space or clubhouses are common).
NEW DEVELOPMENT – TRANSITION AREAS (PORTAL WAY, LABOUNTY, WEST MAIN):

Properties along Portal Way, LaBounty Drive and Main Street west of Washington Avenue have been designated for high density residential uses that may be used as a buffer or transition area between single family and mixed use or commercial development. In addition to duplexes, cottage housing and mixed-density Planned Unit Developments which are shared between single family and transition areas, the following housing forms will likely be the dominant type of development in these areas (though they may not be applicable to all zones):
Townhouses:

Similar to single family attached development, townhouses are self-supporting but attached structures that are built on individual lots. While townhouses may be rented or used as condominiums, the structures and the land that they are built on are often owned by the residents themselves. The ability to own the land and structure provides independence and creates equity that may not be possible in a rental environment. Townhouses may take a variety of forms and may consist of as little as three units to dozens of units. Townhouses may also do an excellent job of engaging with the adjacent street and creating higher densities without departing significantly from single family architectural styles.

- Cost effective entry into home ownership
- Attractive down sizing option
- Individual units are technically separate structures
Triplex and Fourplex:

FIGURE 19 – TRIPLEX AND FOURPLEX

Structures containing three or four units are historically the most common type of multifamily development in Ferndale. These structures may take the form of townhouse development (without lot lines separating the units), or may stack units in a two-story configuration.

- Three and four-unit multifamily developments are typically easier to finance than larger developments.
- Architectural styles and configuration vary dramatically.
- Three and four-unit multifamily developments are often established in groups, within multifamily subdivisions.
Live/Work:

FIGURE 20 – LIVE/WORK
Technological advances have given rise to not only home offices but the ability to create products within the home. Ferndale expects that there will be opportunities for limited live-work developments which provide a range of amenities or shared infrastructure for small, quasi home-based businesses that may include a combination of work/production space, retail and offices within the same structure as the dwelling unit itself.
Courtyard Apartment:

FIGURE 21 – COURTYARD APARTMENT

Courtyard apartments combine the general form of multifamily apartments or condominiums with the shared open space of cottage housing. In this type of development, residential units often surround a central courtyard or open area that is separated from the public right of way to create private space and a village atmosphere. This configuration tends to promote familiarity with other tenants and families within the development while retaining the relative affordability associated with multifamily development.
Midrise Apartments:

FIGURE 22 – MIDRISE APARTMENTS

Midrise apartments, or apartment/condominium buildings up to seven stories in height are likely the largest/most intensive housing form that will be constructed within the City’s fringe areas. These structures may include in-structure parking, elevators, and a range of housing variety and value within the development itself. In turn, these developments may provide significant anchors for ancillary uses or amenities such as parks or trail heads, transit stations and more.
Many of the forms of housing development that are anticipated within the transition areas will also be found in the Downtown core, including courtyard and mid-rise apartments, townhouses, live-work developments, and a limited number of triplexes and fourplexes. As the Downtown area is expected to retain a more-compact – and vertical - urban form, lower density development will only be considered in certain circumstances. As described in the Land Use Element, the future success of Downtown Ferndale will depend upon a critical mass of residents within walking distance of the core; stand-alone housing developments that do not create additional density do not support this long-range vision.
Mixed Use:

**FIGURE 24 – MIXED USE**

Perhaps the most distinct housing form within the Downtown core itself will be the low-to-midrise mixed use building, featuring shopping, dining or offices on lower floors and housing above. The community recognizes that a mixed use approach to restoring downtown vibrancy is not unique. In fact, it is a near universal technique used throughout North America, because it works. The key to actual success depends upon the City’s ability to ensure that new development is a reflection of the unique sense of place that is Ferndale and its downtown – rather than a copy of popular developments in other Puget Sound cities or Bellingham.

- **Development combines residential and commercial uses in the same structure or adjacent structures**
- **Architecture reflects Ferndale heritage, historic building materials, natural elements**
HOUSING CHOICE

This plan has described a variety of housing types that currently exist or that may be constructed within the twenty-year planning period. These housing types provide a variety of options for new and existing residents. Invariably, the relative costs of these housing types will create some separation based on wealth, age, family size or other demographic measurement – where certain housing types may not be available to all people.

This plan seeks to limit the stratification of Ferndale’s housing environment. The different housing options described above are not intended to act as surrogates for class or demographic divisions. Apartments and multifamily living should support a variety of groups, not just the young, the old, or the poor. Single family residences should be available to individuals and families at a variety of income levels, and single family neighborhoods should be designed to support diverse economic segments.

The City does recognize its limited ability to influence housing choices without offering economic or development incentives, though these abilities may change by the end of the planning period. Until that time, the City is tasked primarily with exploring alternatives, ensuring that land use controls do not act as a barrier to housing choices across economic sectors, and encouraging or requiring that new residential development meet or exceed State requirements for durability, efficiency and aesthetics.

SENSE OF PLACE

The Ferndale community has expressed consistent concern that as Ferndale grows, the essential characteristics of the Ferndale community will change and become unrecognizable. Further, there is a concern that new residential developments may lack distinction and that Ferndale will become an anonymous bedroom community indistinguishable from any other North American town.

Sense of Place is an easy concept to define, but difficult to authentically achieve. Forced creations of sense of place through themed environments tend to fail – and the City has found that the most successful human environments result by permitting flexibility in development and encouraging or requiring innovation. The City does not intend to permit
developments that contain no differentiation between structures and no creativity in design.

Ferndale’s sense of place will change over time. This plan is intended to allow those changes to occur organically.
ACTIVE LIVING ENVIRONMENTS

The City of Ferndale seeks to collaborate with private development to promote physical activity and to ensure that all residents have access to active living opportunities. The City recognizes that these opportunities and activities may take a variety of different forms, and depend on a wide variety of factors.

The City has three primary roles in providing active living environments to its residents: through the coordinated parks and trails system and the City’s sidewalks, by establishing land use requirements that ensure that new and existing development is in close proximity and has access to those amenities, and by maintaining and scheduling formal recreation activities such as youth and adult sports, fitness challenges, and more.
MAINTENANCE OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT

The Housing Element is intended to accommodate and encourage balanced housing growth for all economic segments of society in Ferndale. The goals, policies, objectives and data contained herein will require on-going monitoring and periodic maintenance. Changes will be made as warranted and as allowed under the State of Washington Growth Management Act.
HOUSING GOALS AND POLICIES

1. The City will work to maintain access to affordable housing opportunities by instituting a variety of programs increasing the supply of housing while maintaining the essential character of existing neighborhoods.

Rationale: Pride of ownership and sense of community are increased when families are given an opportunity to reach the traditional American ideal of home ownership.

Policies:
A. Provide opportunities for a wide range of housing choices.
B. Allow for alternative high density developments in multifamily zoning that allow for owner-occupied units, zero lot line development, duplex divisions, and more.
C. Allow owner-occupied mobile home parks and/or subdivisions.
D. The City will encourage housing developers to provide housing that is attractive and affordable to first-time and low- to moderate-income home buyers.
E. The City will seek to use federal and state grant funds (CDBG and HOME programs) to develop housing that is attractive and affordable to first-time and low- to moderate-income home buyers.
F. The City will seek to allow a reasonable variety of infill development in all of its residential areas.
G. The City will explore development and enforcement regulations that ensure affordable housing is not minimally built, improperly maintained, or blight-threatened housing.

2. Provide opportunities for shared housing.

Rationale: Extended families, retired persons, adult children are segments of the population that have housing needs other than single detached dwellings or apartment dwellings.

Policies:
A. Seek to establish an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) regulation which allows the creation of attached or detached residential units based on specific development regulations, and reasonable public notification.
3. Encourage infill development and re-development in existing, older neighborhoods.

Rationale: Development of difficult, passed-over parcels of land affords a special opportunity to provide affordable housing. Existing infrastructure can reduce development costs. Flexibility in development standards can provide further opportunities to provide housing that recognizes the development limitations that often exist on infill properties. New development can bring new vitality to a declining neighborhood.

Policies:
A. Provide incentives through flexible zoning techniques, such as zero lot line development or cluster subdivisions on small parcels, when such projects are not incompatible adjoining uses.
B. Provide special incentives such as increased density or reduction in parking demand for senior housing projects near the Nooksack River, the downtown, or the senior center where the proximity to transit routes and services likely used by senior populations would justify a reduced parking need.
C. Provide opportunities for housing developments in areas that are constrained by environmental factors to realize potential density requirements through clustering, increased height limits, or other flexibilities, while preserving the sensitive area.

4. Provide for and encourage the use of Planned Unit Developments to improve the quality of a wide variety of housing projects.

Rationale: All types of housing can benefit from flexible zoning techniques such as Planned Unit Developments and cluster subdivisions. These techniques have the advantage of preserving open space, wetlands and other sensitive areas; they can reduce infrastructure costs; provide for greater opportunities to separate uses when appropriate and provide for mixed-use development when that is appropriate.

Policies:
A. Continue to evaluate Planned Unit Development regulations to provide increased flexibility beyond the prescriptive subdivision standards.
B. Utilize Planned Unit Development regulations to allow for limited experimentation of new housing types, combination of uses, and pilot developments.
5. **Ensure that new housing projects do not detract from existing neighborhoods.**

Rationale: Infill projects, while offering a substantial opportunity for affordable housing, can also adversely impact existing neighborhoods. In order to protect and preserve the character of existing neighborhoods, a case by case review of projects based on adopted codes – and where applicable, adopted design standards - should be completed to ensure that appropriate project design and mitigation are provided.

Policies:

A. Public review of projects should be a requirement of any planned unit development application.

B. Regulations for infill developments should be clear and concise in order to provide consistent expectations for applicants and neighbors.

6. **Require that all housing projects provide appropriate infrastructure and mitigation to ensure that adequate provisions are made for streets, parks, schools and other public facilities.**

Rationale: Affordable housing projects should not be built at the cost of decreasing the quality of life for existing city residents.

Policies:

A. Variances from development standards should not be granted in the name of affordable housing when the city will ultimately be responsible for maintaining and/or upgrading substandard infrastructure.

B. Roads constructed within planned unit developments may not have to meet city street standards if appropriate provisions for private maintenance of roads, drainage systems, and adequate emergency vehicle access are provided.

C. The City will explore development and enforcement regulations that ensure affordable housing is not minimally built, improperly maintained, or blight‐threatened housing.

7. **The City will seek to ensure that affordable housing is measured not only by the initial cost of construction or rental rates, but by the actual cost of home ownership and tenancy through the lifetime of the structure.**
Rationale: If more efficient, more durable fixtures, heating units, insulation, and other methods of construction are integrated into new structures at the time of development, the cost of living for residents through the life of the structure will be reduced.

Policies:

A. The City will consider adopting building codes which provide alternative methods of construction by utilizing renewable energy resources, green building, and greater efficiency in fixtures, heating, and insulation.

B. The City will consider amending development regulations to consider the overall cost of residential occupancy, including additional costs associated with inefficient design, access to transportation (including transit), access to recreational opportunities, access to schools, and access to employment opportunities.

C. The City will evaluate the costs of maintaining private infrastructure necessitated by Ferndale’s development standards, and shall seek to ensure that those costs do not exceed the reasonable ability of the property owner, Homeowner’s Association, or tenants to pay for those costs.

8. **Recognize that affordable housing projects may not yield the same return on investment for developers as a market-rate development, and identify incentives or other processes to encourage affordable housing.**

Rationale: Projects that are geared specifically toward affordable, owner occupied housing can be granted density increases beyond maximum zoning standards, when it can be demonstrated that they are located a convenient distance to transit routes, arterial streets, schools, parks, and will not adversely impact existing neighborhoods.

Policies:

A. Amend the Planned Unit Development ordinance to provide density bonuses for affordable housing projects.

B. Work with Washington State legislators to improve Ferndale’s ability to encourage affordable housing through incentives.

C. Aggressively pursue State or Federal grants that may provide financial assistance for affordable housing developments.
D. Variances should never be considered that would reduce the availability of services to residents within affordable housing developments, in the interest of reducing development costs.

9. The City will continue to evaluate existing development, infrastructure, and building code requirements in an effort to reduce development costs and time delays without compromising public safety or community character.

Rationale: A small city enjoys some advantage in providing timely permit processing over a larger jurisdiction. The city needs to strive to refine its process to ensure the shortest possible review time in recognition that delays cost money, which in turn increases housing costs.

Policies:
A. Provide clear, well-defined application procedures for applicants to follow.
B. Outline all developer obligations and responsibilities to potential applicants at the earliest possible stage.
C. Encourage pre-application meetings to ensure that applicants completely understand city standards and review procedures.
D. Encourage applicants to hold neighborhood meetings at an early stage in the process to ensure that public review and neighborhood concerns can be incorporated into the design of the project.
E. Develop review procedures that can be run concurrently with state and federal permit application procedures.
F. Avoid complicated regulatory programs that require a large amount of administrative work (i.e. transfer of development rights).
G. Utilize technology to streamline permit applications, review, and inspections.
H. Reduce the number of public hearings necessary for development review while providing the public with easy and timely access to all publicly available materials.

10. Recognize special needs housing as an important and necessary element of the housing plan.
Rationale: State and federal housing laws require that local governments allow group homes, such as adult family, residential care, and group foster homes in single family residential neighborhoods. Beyond this mandate, the integration of smaller special needs housing facilities within neighborhoods provides opportunities for special needs housing to be of a higher quality, lower cost, non-institutional nature and remain compatible with single family residential neighborhoods.

Special Needs Housing Policies:

A. Amend the provisions of the City zoning code to allow for adult family and residential care homes in single family zoning districts.

B. Add provisions to the City zoning code to allow for larger special needs housing facilities in appropriate location.

11. Identify the appropriate balance of responsibilities between Home Owner Associations (HOA’s) and the City for the maintenance of infrastructure.

A. Establish infrastructure requirements that do not unnecessarily burden HOA’s with costly maintenance responsibilities.

B. Ensure that HOA’s are appropriately financed at the time of development completion to satisfy their responsibilities for infrastructure maintenance.

C. Consider the implications of low HOA participation, especially within small HOA’s.

12. Encourage multi-family, residential and mixed residential-commercial development in and around the Downtown core in order to establish an in-place employment and customer market and to restore vibrancy to the core.

A. Seek to increase densities in and around the Downtown core

B. Encourage the conversion of non-conforming single family residences within the Downtown core.
C. Identify cost reductions for code-compliant developments within the core, provided that such reductions do not limit the City’s ability to provide services, do not harm the environment, and do not impact adjacent or nearby property owners.

13. Proactively address potential blight as a result of lack of maintenance of older housing.

A. Establish and proactively enforce regulations requiring maintenance of properties and structures.

B. Increase inter-department and inter-agency coordination to eliminate nuisance properties associated with drug use or illegal activity.

14. Ensure that development regulations allowing a variety of housing forms are well known to the development community and the general public.

A. Utilize illustrations and non-technical descriptions within development regulations.

B. Establish a dedicated web presence to describe potential housing types.

C. Schedule and participate in community meetings and discussions with industry groups to ensure knowledge of City codes.